This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/835,780	04/12/2001	Domingo Figueras Payas	2136/0J098	9468	
75	90 08/01/2002				
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			EXAMINER		
805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022			CULLER	CULLER, JILL E	
-	w		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2854		
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/835,780	FIGUERAS PAYAS, DOMINGO				
omee Action Cummary	Examin r	Art Unit				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jill Culler	2854				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	April 2001					
<u></u>	_					
· / -						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). **Control of the priority of the partition of the priority of t						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 						
a) \(\) The translation of the foreign language pro 15)\(\) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •					
Attachment(s)	_					
i) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the methods of displacing sheets for printing off center text as described in claims 6-8 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3 and 6-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 3 recites the limitation "externally operated electronic controls" in line 4.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the free edge strip" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the reference edge of the table carrying the sheet" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the off-centre arrangement of the edge" in lines 2-

3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2854

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not clear what form the base for carrying paper takes, for example, whether it is feeding the sheets to the printing apparatus or supporting the sheets during the printing process. Since the scope of the claim cannot be reasonably determined, prior art cannot be applied to the claims to evaluate whether or not it is patentable.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims1-2, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to Claim 1, the phrase "of the type" is indefinite because it is not clear what structures are covered by "of the type".

With respect to Claim 2, it is not clear what is meant by "standard size" since what is 'standard' may be different to different people.

Art Unit: 2854

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the base carrying the sheet" in line 3. Claim 7 recites the limitation "said base" in line 4. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the base carrying the sheets" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims and it is unclear what this structure is referring to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 452,933 to Feister in view of EPO 0895183 and U.S. Patent No. 3,593,987 to Garber.

Feister shows a printing and binding machine for the preparation of books which includes unrolling a sheet of paper and cutting it prior to printing, see lines 22-24, then printing on one side of the sheet and then the other, see lines 24-26, then folding the sheets about an axis of symmetry, see lines 33-34, and trimming the sheets, 34-35, to finish the text.

Feister does not teach an electronic printing machine having a memory for the content of the texts of the various pages and electronically controllable means for determining the surface area occupied by the text and the parameters defining the text.

Art Unit: 2854

or printing the text symmetrically, varying the extent of the body of text for each page in accordance with the dimensions provided. Feister also does not discuss cutting the sheets at the outer edges or joining and binding the sets of sheets. Feister does not discuss that the adjustment of the parameters determining the texts and the arrangement on the sheet is effected by externally operated electronic controls of the printer without stopping the continuous process of manufacture. Feister also does not teach that each sheet is printed in an off-center manner to produce a lateral edge strip which is parallel with one of the edges.

EPO 0895183 teaches an electronic printing machine, having a memory for the content of the texts of the various pages and electronically controllable means for determining the surface area occupied by the text and the parameters defining the text, and teaches that the text is printed symmetrically, varying the extent of the body of text for each page in accordance with the dimensions provided, and that the adjustment of the parameters determining the texts and the arrangement on the sheet is effected by externally operated controls of the printer without stopping the continuous process of manufacture and that each sheet is printed in an off-center manner to produce a lateral edge strip which is parallel with one of the edges.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the printing machine of Feister with the electronic controls of EPO 0895183 in order to have better control over the printing process and the distribution of the text on the sheets.

Art Unit: 2854

Garber teaches a printing process in which the sheets are folded to form signatures, see column 3, lines 70-72, then a plurality of these signatures are bound together and trimmed. See column 4, lines 33-35.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the printing machine of Feister to fold before binding since Garber teaches that this is an advantageous method of creating a book.

With respect to claim 2, any sheet may be considered to be standard size for a particular printing apparatus or process.

With respect to claim 4, the claimed product results from the process as already discussed.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill Culler whose telephone number is (703) 308-1413. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 2854

Page 7

308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

jec

July 26, 2002

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800